

213. The Reductive Conversion of Some Cyclic and Acyclic *vic*-Epoxides to Alcohols by Means of Lithium Aluminium Hydride/Aluminium Trichloride

by Vladimir Andrejević, Mira Bjelaković, Milan M. Mihailović, and Mihailo Lj. Mihailović*

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Belgrade, Studentski trg 16, P.O. Box 550,
YU-11001 Belgrade,
and Institute of Chemistry, Technology and Metallurgy, Belgrade, Yugoslavia

(24.VII.85)

Unsubstituted medium-ring 1,2-epoxycycloalkanes and certain *vic*-epoxyalkanes are reduced to the corresponding alcohols very slowly when LiAlH_4 alone is used as reducing agent. However, the combination of LiAlH_4 and AlCl_3 , in a 2:1 molar ratio (with respect to 1 mol-equiv. of epoxide) used in refluxing Et_2O , greatly enhances these reductions, rendering them of interest for practical purposes.

In [1] [2], we showed that the ease of reductive opening of the oxirane rings by LiAlH_4 for 1,2-epoxycycloalkanes depends on the size of the carbocyclic ring and the configuration (*cis-trans*) of the oxirane system. Medium-ring 1,2-epoxycycloalkanes were particularly resistant to LiAlH_4 reduction, and, moreover, *trans*-epoxides suffered C–O bond cleavage more difficultly than the corresponding *cis*-isomers (*Table*).



To shorten the reaction times and thus make this conversion of epoxides to alcohols of practical utility, we have now studied the reduction of unsubstituted 1,2-epoxycycloalkanes using LiAlH_4 in combination with AlCl_3 ¹⁾. However, since such mixtures can produce, in dependence of the relative proportions of the components, various 'mixed hydrides', whereby products other than alcohols may be also formed [3] [4], consequently the influence of the $\text{LiAlH}_4/\text{AlCl}_3$ molar ratio on the product distribution was first investigated. It was found that an epoxide/ $\text{LiAlH}_4/\text{AlCl}_3$ molar ratio of 1:2:1 (in Et_2O at 35°) gave the best results, the only (or essential) products of oxirane-ring opening being the desired alcohols (98–100%), which could be isolated in over 90% yield²⁾. Moreover, this reactant ratio caused reduction of 1,2-epoxycycloalkanes to occur much faster than with LiAlH_4 alone (up to 50 times and more), and even medium-ring 1,2-epoxycycloalkanes were completely cleaved after short reaction times (15–210 min) (see *Table*). Here also the *trans*-1,2-epoxycycloalkanes were reduced more slowly than the *cis*-

¹⁾ The $\text{LiAlH}_4/\text{AlCl}_3$ combinations have been used for the reduction of various functional groups [3].

²⁾ With different reactant ratios, in addition to the desired alcohols, various other products were also obtained. (To be published.)

Table. Ease of Reduction of Some 1,2-Epoxyalkanes and vic-Epoxyalkanes to Alcohols with LiAlH_4 alone (mol-ratio epoxide/ LiAlH_4 1:2) [1] [2] and with $\text{LiAlH}_4/\text{AlCl}_3$ (mol-ratio epoxide/ $\text{LiAlH}_4/\text{AlCl}_3$ 1:2:1), in Refluxing Et_2O^a)

Epoxide	Reaction times for oxirane ring opening ^{b)}	
	with LiAlH_4 [h]	with $\text{LiAlH}_4 + \text{AlCl}_3^c)$ [min]
<i>1,2-Epoxyalkanes</i>		
1,2-Epoxypropylcyclopentane (<i>cis</i>)	~ 0.5	5
1,2-Epoxypropylcyclohexane (<i>cis</i>)	~ 0.1	< 1
1,2-Epoxypropylcycloheptane (<i>cis</i>)	3	5
<i>cis</i> -1,2-Epoxypropylcyclooctane	48 (24%)	15
<i>cis</i> -1,2-Epoxypropylcyclodecane	48 (76%)	120
<i>trans</i> -1,2-Epoxypropylcyclodecane	48 (96.5%)	210
<i>cis</i> -1,2-Epoxypropylcyclododecane	12 (2.5%)	30
<i>trans</i> -1,2-Epoxypropylcyclododecane	48 (28%)	45
<i>cis</i> -1,2-Epoxypropylpentadecane	12 (1%)	30
<i>trans</i> -1,2-Epoxypropylpentadecane	24 (4%)	35
<i>vic-Epoxyalkanes</i>		
1,2-Epoxyoctane	< 0.1 ^{d)}	< 1 ^{d)}
1,2-Epoxydecane	< 0.1 ^{d)}	< 1 ^{d)}
<i>cis</i> -2,3-Epoxyhexane	1 ^{e)}	5 ^{e)}
<i>trans</i> -2,3-Epoxyhexane	2 (2.5%) ^{f)}	15 ^{f)}
<i>cis</i> -3,4-Epoxy-2,5-dimethylhexane	24 (3%)	60
<i>trans</i> -3,4-Epoxy-2,5-dimethylhexane	30 (3%)	75

^{a)} The reductions were performed with 1–2 mmol of epoxide, in 15–30 ml of Et_2O (see *Exper. Part*).

^{b)} The reaction times refer to complete reductions, except in the cases when percent values are cited in parentheses, these values indicating the amount of unreacted epoxide (after the given time).

^{c)} According to GLC, the yields of alcohols were 98–100%. In some cases, Cl-containing and olefinic products were detected in trace amounts.

^{d)} Products: 2-alkanol/1-alkanol (99–100):(1–0) (LiAlH_4); (97–98):(3–2) ($\text{LiAlH}_4 + \text{AlCl}_3$).

^{e)} Products: 3-hexanol/2-hexanol 53.5:46.5 (LiAlH_4); 58:42 ($\text{LiAlH}_4 + \text{AlCl}_3$).

^{f)} Products: 3-hexanol/2-hexanol 52:48 (LiAlH_4); 55:45 ($\text{LiAlH}_4/\text{AlCl}_3$).

isomers, but the difference in reaction times was much less than was the case for reductions with LiAlH_4 alone (*Table*).

A similar rate enhancement was observed in the $\text{LiAlH}_4/\text{AlCl}_3$ reductions of some *vic*-epoxyalkanes (see *Table*). The *cis*-epoxides were again reduced faster than the corresponding *trans*-compounds.

It could be inferred that the reduction of epoxides with the $\text{LiAlH}_4/\text{AlCl}_3$ 2:1 combination is an electrophilically assisted reaction, in which the reducing agent attacks preferentially the more substituted oxirane C-atom [3] [4]. However, the ratios of 2-alkanol/1-alkanol products obtained from 1,2-epoxyalkanes (*Table*, *Footnote d*) are very similar to those observed in the corresponding LiAlH_4 reductions (*Table*), and this would indicate that the mechanism in both cases is of the $\text{S}_{\text{N}}2$ type. In the presence of AlCl_3 , electrophilic catalysis by AlH_3 , AlClH_2 , and other species³⁾ enhances the reductive process but without noticeably changing the direction of the oxirane ring cleavage, *i.e.*

³⁾ With the $\text{LiAlH}_4/\text{AlCl}_3$ molar ratio of 2:1 (for 1 mol-equiv. of epoxide) used in the present study, different reactive species can be envisaged [3] [4] to exist in the reaction mixture, such as LiAlH_4 , AlH_3 , AlClH_2 , AlCl_2H , *etc.*

without decreasing the predominance of attack of the reducing agent at the less substituted (less sterically hindered) epoxy C-atom.

The authors are grateful to the *Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts* and to the *Serbian Republic Research Fund* for financial support.

Experimental Part

General. See [2].

The reductions with $\text{LiAlH}_4/\text{AlCl}_3$ (using a molar ratio epoxide/ $\text{LiAlH}_4/\text{AlCl}_3$ 1:2:1) were performed with 1–2 mmol of epoxide and the required amounts of LiAlH_4 and AlCl_3 in 15–30 ml of dry Et_2O at 35° , in a similar way as described previously for the LiAlH_4 reductions [2], i.e. n mmol of epoxide in $n \cdot 5$ ml of Et_2O was added dropwise, at r.t., to a stirred suspension containing $2n$ mmol of LiAlH_4 and n mmol of AlCl_3 in $n \cdot 10$ ml of Et_2O (n being 1 or 2), whereupon the resulting mixture was heated to boiling. Aliquots were taken at definite time intervals and analyzed by GLC for unreacted epoxide, alcohol produced, and other (if any) reaction products. The results obtained are given in the *Table*.

In larger scale runs, performed with 0.03–0.06 mol of epoxide (and the necessary quantities of LiAlH_4 and AlCl_3), the alcohol product was isolated, after the usual workup [2], by distillation or column chromatography. The yield was, in general, over 90%.

It should be noted that the $\text{LiAlH}_4/\text{AlCl}_3$ combination in Et_2O was prepared by simple addition of the components to dry Et_2O (cooled in ice-cold water), and the resulting suspension used *as such*, i.e. without previous filtration.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Lj. Mihailović, V. Andrejević, J. Milovanović, J. Janković, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1976**, *59*, 2305.
- [2] M. Lj. Mihailović, V. Andrejević, J. Janković, J. Milovanović, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Beograd* **1978**, *43*, 83.
- [3] E. L. Eliel, *Record Chem. Progr.* (Kresge-Hooker Sci. Libr.) **1961**, *22*, 129; M. N. Rerick, in 'Reduction', Ed. R. L. Augustine, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1968, pp. 1–94 (epoxide reductions: pp. 2–6, 53–56); J. S. Pizey, 'Synthetic Reagents', E. Horwood Ltd., Chichester, 1974, Vol. I, pp. 101–294 (epoxide reductions: pp. 116–127); A. Hajós, 'Complex Hydrides', Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1979, pp. 128–141 (epoxide reductions: pp. 135–136); and references in all these publications.
- [4] M. N. Rerick, E. L. Eliel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1962**, *84*, 2356; E. C. Ashby, B. Cooke, *ibid.* **1968**, *90*, 1625; R. Guyon, P. Villa, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1975**, 2599, and ref. therein.